**Scenarios**

**Flo:**

Scenario 1/5/9:

When I started scenario 1, I had an idea how the website should look like. After I was fictively going through each step, I suddenly noticed how many aspects must be specified in order to be clear enough. It was not my intention at the first place to make such a big table, but somehow it felt necessary for making it complete. Furthermore, it was not my intention, to include scenario 5 and 9 into the first one. When I was doing the steps for scenario 1, it somehow made sense to include these possibilities. At that time, I did not consider the other scenarios, I just noticed after I finished the first one, that the other ones where already part of it.

Therefore, I tried to redo the first scenario again and being less detailed, just focusing on a regular process with a person called “Betty”. It has even more steps, but each one is much shorter, which makes the whole scenario about the half of the first try. It probably has its advantages when giving someone a quick overview of what the process will be capable, but for programmers to implement it, it is probably not specific enough at many points. For that purpose, I would recommend the first try of that scenario.

**Reflection**

**Flo**

Time: Additionally, to the time in the lab, I was working on the scenario(s) on one day at the following times:

* 9:30-10:05 am (phone call interrupted me)
* 10:22-10:57 am (I took a short break)
* 11:05-11:30 am (I made the second version of the first scenario)

My work on the report was done on another day from 12:23-12:52 pm and 7:58-9:29 pm.

This lab was a bit mind-boggling, because sometimes I thought I know exactly what to do and suddenly at a particular moment, I was not sure how to continue. For example, when we tried to model the first use case (“Food Order”), which appeared to be so clear in my head, but when we tried to draw it on paper, somehow that clarity was just gone.

When we decided to do another use case (“Food Supply”), before we tried to finish our first one, I thought it will be just as difficult again. But unexpectedly, it was much less of confusion and we did it super quickly.

Writing down the detailed scenario needed a lot of vision how it should look like. But since we discussed it first together in the lab, everything became obvious what I have to add when I was actually writing it down (although there are probably even more aspects). And I never would have imagined, that it can even make fun. The more I was writing on it, the more eager I became to make every step as “complete” as possible.

Interestingly, looking at the team process in retrospective, discussing and finding decisions seemed to be a bit harder in this lab, than the last one with the ethical issues. We did not fight, but sometimes it was uneasy to make sure, everyone understands the same.